

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,954	04/15/2004	Manfred Schneegans	2001 P 17353 US	2275
48154 7	590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
SLATER & MATSIL LLP			NGUYEN, JIMMY	
17950 PRESTO SUITE 1000	ON ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75252			2829	

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/826,954	SCHNEEGANS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jimmy Nguyen	2829		

Advisory Action	10/826,954	SCHNEEGANS ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	·			
	Jimmy Nguyen	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expires</li></ol>						
The period for reply expires						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		KST REPLT WAS FILED WITHIN	1 TVVO .			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee undefinal Office action; or (2) as set for	er 37 th in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal of the app	e date peal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloe)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying the issu	ies for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		, mnliant Amendment (PTOL	324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Amphant Amendment (F1OL-	324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an explanat	ion of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-4,6,8,11-15 and 18-26</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected. <u>2-4,0,0,71-13 and 16-20.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fails to pro				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance beca	ause:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s) whe Namp				
•		VINH NGUYEN	_			
	<b>v</b> - •	PRIMARY EXAMINED	R			
		A.4.2829 04/19/06				

Application No.

The applicants argue that "a substantially linear member" as disclosed in the present application is not taught, suggest, or remotely disclosed by Smith. The examiner is respectfully traversed this argument. The spring contact 15 is bend into the shape of an arc having a radius r, it means that before it bends to the shape of arc, the spring contact 15 has structure as elongated member, further the applicant uses the term "substantiall linear elongated member" this means that the contact member is not absolutely is an elongated member but its structure almost as elongated member. Therefore, a structure that illustrated as elongated member will read on the claims structure eventhought it will re-shaped later.

Further, the examiner is clearly indicated column 10, lines 19 - 25 that the adherence layer is Titannium.